

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,887	05/23/2001	Yasutaka Ito	20523US0PCT	8002
22850	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
1940 DUKE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		3742	
			3142	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100		
Advisory Action	09/831,887	ITO ET AL.	•		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application	ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	to a ion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The approportionally set in the final O	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension office action: or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe	-	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed ar	mendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT	place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were r	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and wor appended.	d an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other: Gabin O-Elans					
RÖBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER	Jasta	prsxy			

In claim 1,2, 4, 5, the limitation "contact directly with a work to be heated or to face a work to be heated across a space between the work-heating surface and the work to be heated" has not been presented previously and would require further consideration and/or searc